

# Products for the multisymplectic Poisson bracket

---

XXXVII Symposium on Mathematical Physics

Toruń, 17 June 2005

C. Paufler, Mainz

H. Römer, Freiburg

## Plan of this talk

---

- Basic objects of multisymplectic geometry
- Poisson forms and the Poisson bracket
- Classification of Poisson forms
- Products for the Poisson bracket
- Conclusions

## Basic objects of multisymplectic geometry

- A multisymplectic manifold is a manifold  $\mathcal{P}$  together with a **closed, nondegenerate form**  $\omega$ .

- Multisymplectic Field Theory is a special case:

Multiphase space [Kijowski '73]:  $\mathcal{P}(x^\mu, q^i, p_j^\nu, p) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(x^\mu, q^i) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^n(x^\mu)$

$$\text{multimomenta } p_j^\nu = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\nu \phi^j}, \quad \text{energy variable } p = \partial_\nu \phi^j \frac{\partial L}{\partial \partial_\nu \phi^j} - L$$

$$\omega = dq^i \wedge dp_i^\mu \wedge d^n x_\mu - dp \wedge d^n x, \quad \omega = -d\theta$$

The **scaling vector field**  $\Sigma = p_i^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^\mu} + p \frac{\partial}{\partial p}$  satisfies  $i(\Sigma)\omega = -\theta$ .

- $i(X)\omega = df$  associates **Hamiltonian  $r$ -vector fields**  $X$  with **Hamiltonian  $(n-r)$ -forms**  $f$ . A locally Hamiltonian multi-vector field  $X$  satisfies  $di_X\omega = 0$ . Neither  $f$  nor  $X$  are uniquely defined. Not every form  $f$  is Hamiltonian.

## Poisson forms and the Poisson bracket

---

- For multi-vector fields  $X, Y$  one can define an extension of the Lie bracket of vector fields, the Schouten bracket. It satisfies a graded Jacobi identity

$$\pm[X, [Y, Z]] + \text{cycl. perm} = 0.$$

- Corresponding bracket for Hamiltonian forms?  
 $\{f, g\} = \pm i(X)i(Y)\omega$  does not satisfy a Jacobi identity.
- Correction terms [Forger, C.P., Römer '01]

$$\{f, g\} = \pm i(X)i(Y)\omega + d(\pm i(Y)f \pm i(X)g \pm i(X)i(Y)\theta)$$

establish Jacobi identity, need to restrict  $f, g$  to **Poisson forms**.

$$f \text{ Poisson} \Leftrightarrow f = i(\xi)\omega$$

Then,  $i(Y)f$  does not depend on the choice of  $Y$ .

## Classification of Poisson forms: The scaling degree

---

**Theorem** [Forger, C.P., Römer '04]

1. Let  $X$  be a locally Hamiltonian  $r$ -vector field.

Then

$$X = X_{-1} + X_0 + X_1 + \dots + X_{r-1} + \ker \omega, \text{ where } [\Sigma, X_\lambda] = \lambda X_\lambda.$$

$\lambda$  is the **scaling degree** of  $X_\lambda$  denoted by  $\mathfrak{p}(X_\lambda)$ .

**Note that  $\lambda$  is bounded by the form degree of  $X_\lambda$ !**

2. Decomposition property extends to Poisson forms by  $L_\Sigma i_X \omega = i_{[\Sigma, X] + X} \omega$ .

3. If  $\lambda \geq 0$  then  $J(X_\lambda) = \pm \frac{1}{\lambda+1} i(X_\lambda) \theta$  is a Poisson form with associated  $X_\lambda$

$$L_\Sigma J(X_\lambda) = (\lambda + 1) J(X_\lambda) =: \mathfrak{p}(J(X_\lambda)) J(X_\lambda)$$

4.  $\{J(X_\lambda), J(Y_\mu)\} = J([Y_\mu, X_\lambda]) + \text{closed term}$ . (No extra term for  $\lambda = 1$  or  $\mu = 1$ .)

## Products: Degree analysis

---

- Poisson bracket  $\{, \}$  is of tensor degree  $-(n-1)$ :

$$\{r\text{-form}, s\text{-form}\} = (r + s - (n - 1))\text{-form}$$

- Poisson bracket  $\{, \}$  is of scaling degree  $-1$ :

$$\mathfrak{p}(\{f, g\}) = \mathfrak{p}(f) + \mathfrak{p}(g) - 1$$

- If a product adds the scaling degree, it has to be of tensor degree  $-n$  (or lower):

$$n - r + n - s \geq \mathfrak{p}(f) + \mathfrak{p}(g) = \mathfrak{p}(f \bullet g) \leq n - (r + s + ?)$$

## A new product

---

- Natural candidate is  $X \wedge Y$ :

$$[X \wedge Y, Z] = \pm [Z, X] \wedge Y + X \wedge [Y, Z]$$

- Problem: For  $X, Y$  Hamiltonian,  $X \wedge Y$  is **not** necessarily locally Hamiltonian.  
Sufficient:  $[X, Y] = 0$ .

- Solution:

$$X_\lambda \bullet Y_\mu = X_\lambda \wedge Y_\mu - \frac{(-1)^s}{\lambda + \mu + 1} [X, Y] \wedge \Sigma$$

is locally Hamiltonian.

- But:  $J(X) \bullet J(Y) = J(X \bullet Y)$  does not satisfy Leibniz rule (because the  $J(X)$  do not close). Modification of Poisson bracket?
- The Hamiltonian form for  $X_\lambda \bullet X_\mu$  is of scaling degree  $\lambda + \mu + 1 = (\lambda + 1) + (\mu + 1) - 1$ .

## Products II

---

- There exist products of horizontal Poisson forms [Kanatchikov '97]: Choose volume form  $d^n x$  on  $\mathcal{M}^n$ , pull back to  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- Then every horizontal form  $f$  can be written as  $f = i_{Z_f} d^n x$ .
- Define  $f \bullet g = i_{Z_g \wedge Z_f} d^n x$ , satisfies Leibniz rule.
- This product is of scaling degree zero!
- Cannot extend to arbitrary Poisson forms without choice of a connection.



## Conclusions

---

- The scaling degree is a powerful tool for the classification of Poisson forms.
- Its role has no analogue in mechanics.
- Can be used to correct the  $\wedge$  product of Hamiltonian multi-vector fields.
- How to achieve a Leibniz rule is unclear: Problems with forms of scaling degree zero (pulled back from  $\mathcal{E}$ ).
- Surprising: The results of this analysis can be stated without reference to coordinates, but the proofs depend heavily on the specific form of  $\omega$ .